## Case 5:06-cv-03717-RMW Document 782 Filed 04/29/10 Page 2 of 2

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs' request for a telephone status conference to discuss the status of discovery is DENIED. Contrary to Plaintiffs' assertion, discovery was not open when Judge Whyte stayed the case. While the parties requested the stay on November 19, 2009, Judge Whyte did not order the stay until December 23, 2009, after the December 11, 2009 discovery cut-off. Nothing in the order staying the case made the stay retroactive. On the contrary, the order states that the matter "shall be, and hereby is stayed," indicating that the stay began the day Judge Whyte issued the order. This order is without prejudice to Plaintiffs moving to reopen discovery. Plaintiffs may make their motion on two weeks notice. Any opposition shall be filed at least one week before the noticed hearing date.<sup>2</sup> Dated: April 29, 2010 United States Magistrate Judge

Unless the requested period of discovery would actually interfere with matters unrelated to discovery on Judge Whyte's calendar, any such motion should be noticed on the calendar of the assigned Magistrate Judge. Judge Trumbull hears law and motion on Tuesdays at 10:00 a.m. Briefs filed in connection with motions on Judge Trumbull's calendar shall be in proper format, not letter briefs.